

# RASAIID



## DEFINITION OF 'ABUSE'

We need to think closely about the definition of "abuse". My dictionary says that abuse is "hurting by treating wrongly". I see around me people with an intellectual disability placed in housing alone with minimum drop in support, stranded without access to a vehicle and reliant on the television for company. This is clearly abuse by neglect. I see people left with no funds at all after greedy service providers have taken most of the pension and provided no transport, necessitating the use of taxis. This is financial abuse. I see people who are starved of meaningful activities where service provision entails nothing more than providing food, clothing and shelter. This is abuse through boredom. These people are hurting by being treated wrongly. They are not physically or sexually abused but they are abused nonetheless.

Here must be an acknowledgment that people with an intellectual disability are in the main highly social beings who thrive on and delight in the company of people like themselves. Most people with an intellectual disability do not enjoy a rewarding career or a family of their own. It therefore follows that the other cornerstone of our lives - the social network- assumes even greater importance in their lives than in the lives of their non-disabled peers. While the "experts" emphasize integration and inclusion in the general community, they disregard the right and need of PWD to make social networks within their own community.

How do they make these networks? Unlike the general community, people with an intellectual disability usually cannot drive a car, sometimes cannot operate a computer or telephone and may not be able to write. They need to be physically present in order to interact with their friends. This is why it is essential that workers facilitate their coming together to foster social links and build relationships. Where is the harm in schools, work places and homes that encourage the forging of long term friendships between people who have similar disabilities?

I have realized over many years that the barrier to the facilitation of these friendships has come from within the non-disabled community. It is easier for us to tuck away a person with a disability among a hundred non-disabled citizens than it is for us to confront a group of twenty or thirty obviously "different" PWD. The move towards inclusion may be nothing more than a convenience for us, a reflection of our own lack of acceptance and a rejection of who our people with an intellectual disability truly are: people like ourselves who make relationships with like people.

Kingsdene is the tip of the iceberg. We should be asking why weekly boarding schools and village style residential accommodation are not only permissible but encouraged in other developed countries? Why clusters such as the Camphill Communities are to be found around the world but not in our country? What model best supports the person with a disability while sustaining relationships? I recently attended a conference on sibling relationships where the family that was held up as a model of enduring inter-generational relationships had placed their PWD in a weekly boarding school from the age of ten.

My opinions are based on a longitudinal case study that I have been working on for nearly thirty-three years - my severely disabled son. It is daily observation of him and his needs that has led me to formulate my views. When I discuss my findings with other parents, I am invariably told that their son or daughter is just like my son. Sadly, we parents have no voice, no forum, no union and no advocacy that allows our opinions to be heard. Yet we must find a way to rebut the widely circulated, well-intentioned but misguided rhetoric of Ms Franks, Family Advocacy and their ilk. If we do not, our people may well end up in lonely inclusion, separate from their own community and isolated by their disability.

**Estelle Shields**